SENATE RESOLUTION NO. 86

Senators Chang, Cavanagh, Bayer and Moss offered the following resolution:

- 1 A resolution to urge the United States Congress to pass
- 2 legislation to require immigration officers to display visible
- ${f 3}$ identification, prohibit immigration officers from covering their
- 4 faces, and limit immigration enforcement actions in sensitive
- 5 locations.
- 6 Whereas, The federal government of the United States is
- 7 responsible for the enforcement of immigration law within its
- 8 jurisdiction. In the United States, enforcement of the law is meant
- 9 to be an open and honest activity of the government; and
- 10 Whereas, Since the early months of the current federal
- 11 administration, federal agents have been making arrests under the
- 12 cover of anonymity, without clearly identifying themselves as law
- 13 enforcement. Enforcement actions have been carried out by agents

- 1 wearing hoodies and masks, donning civilian clothes rather than
- 2 uniforms, and not displaying badges or other indicia of authority.
- 3 These agents arrive at raids on public areas in unmarked cars, such
- 4 that individuals do not know if they are being approached by law
- 5 enforcement or criminals; and
- 6 Whereas, In 2025 there have been multiple reports of
- 7 individuals impersonating U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
- 8 officers. Some individuals have claimed to represent immigration
- 9 enforcement authorities to engage in vigilantism, while others have
- 10 assumed this persona to commit crimes. The methods being used by
- 11 immigration authorities are making it harder to verify whether an
- 12 individual is an officer, creating opportunities for impersonators
- 13 to do harm; and
- 14 Whereas, Clear, consistent, visible identification helps
- 15 reduce miscommunication during enforcement encounters, strengthens
- 16 officer credibility, and improves public cooperation, all of which
- 17 are vital to mission success; and
- 18 Whereas, In our nation, law enforcement officers should not
- 19 only clearly identify themselves but also exercise restraint in
- 20 encroaching on certain sensitive locations. Proper respect for
- 21 individuals' privacy and the right to be free from government
- 22 interference is a principle embodied in many aspects of the United
- 23 States Constitution, and particularly the Fourth Amendment. As the
- 24 Supreme Court of the United States has said, the Fourth Amendment
- 25 "seeks to secure 'the privacies of life' against 'arbitrary
- 26 power, " and "a central aim of the Framers was 'to place obstacles
- 27 in the way of a too permeating police surveillance.'" We should
- 28 continue to uphold these values by establishing limits on the
- 29 powers of federal law enforcement to act in locations where we, as

- ${f 1}$ a nation, feel that individuals should be free to come and go
- 2 without fear of detention or arrest; and
- 3 Whereas, Legislation has been introduced in the United States
- 4 Congress to promote transparency and accountability in federal
- 5 immigration enforcement actions. Senate Bill 2212 of 2025, the
- 6 "Visible Identification Standards for Immigration-Based Law
- 7 Enforcement Act of 2025" or the "VISIBLE Act," would require
- 8 immigration officers to display visible identification during
- 9 public-facing immigration enforcement actions, including the
- 10 officer's employing agency and their last name or badge number.
- 11 Furthermore, it would prohibit immigration officers from wearing
- 12 non-medical face coverings, with limited exceptions for covert,
- 13 non-public operations or to guard against hazardous environmental
- 14 conditions. These measures would alert members of the public to an
- 15 officer's status and help individuals verify that they are
- 16 interacting with a real member of law enforcement; and
- Whereas, Legislation has also been introduced to protect the
- 18 privacy and dignity of individuals from unnecessary interference by
- 19 federal immigration authorities. Senate Bill 455 of 2025, the
- 20 "Protecting Sensitive Locations Act," would limit immigration
- 21 enforcement actions in sensitive locations and establish rules for
- 22 how immigration agents are to conduct themselves in these
- 23 locations. "Sensitive locations" would include, for instance,
- 24 healthcare facilities, schools, places of worship, funerals,
- 25 weddings, courthouses, and public assistance offices. Such
- 26 legislation would reassure individuals that they may travel to and
- 27 from these essential locations without fear; and
- Whereas, Our nation's immigration authorities have embraced
- 29 policies restricting enforcement actions in or near "sensitive

- 1 locations" or "protected areas" for over three decades, under both
- 2 Democratic and Republican leadership. It is time we codify the
- 3 commonsense protections provided under the policies of five
- 4 administrations the Clinton Administration, the Bush
- 5 Administration, the Obama Administration, the first Trump
- 6 Administration, and the Biden Administration into law; now,
- 7 therefore, be it
- 8 Resolved by the Senate, That we urge the United States
- 9 Congress to pass legislation to require immigration officers to
- 10 display visible identification, prohibit immigration officers from
- 11 covering their faces, and limit immigration enforcement actions in
- 12 sensitive locations; and be it further
- 13 Resolved, That copies of this resolution be transmitted to the
- 14 President of the United States, the Speaker of the United States
- 15 House of Representatives, the Majority Leader of the United States
- 16 Senate, and the members of the Michigan congressional delegation.